Contents

| Pr | rewo eface st of a | rd acronyr | ns | xv xvii xix |
|----|--------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | art I | | duction | 1 |
| 1 | Hist | orv an | d deployment of ITS (Intelligent Transport Systems) | |
| - | | • | es and an outline of the book chapters | 3 |
| | | _ | cki, Felix Fahrenkrog and Meng Lu | |
| | 1.1 | Introd | luction | 3 |
| | 1.2 | Classi | ification of ITS | 5 |
| | 1.3 | Histor | ry of ITS | 6 |
| | 1.4 | Infras | tructure-based ITS | 10 |
| | | 1.4.1 | Emergency vehicle notification systems, eCall | 10 |
| | | 1.4.2 | Automatic road law enforcement | 10 |
| | 1.5 | Vehic | le-based ITS | 11 |
| | | 1.5.1 | Automation level 1 – advance driver assistant | |
| | | | systems (ADAS) | 12 |
| | | 1.5.2 | Automation level 2 – example: traffic jam assist | |
| | | | and platooning | 12 |
| | | 1.5.3 | Automation level 3 – example: highway pilot | 14 |
| | | 1.5.4 | Automation levels 4 and 5 | 14 |
| | 1.6 | Deplo | yment of ITS | 15 |
| | | 1.6.1 | Standardisation | 15 |
| | | | Testing and demonstration | 16 |
| | | | Market introduction | 17 |
| | 1.7 | | | 17 |
| | 1.8 | | ne of the book chapters | 18 |
| | Refe | erences | | 20 |
| Pa | art II | Need | s and methods | 25 |
| 2 | | | ntion policy – Culture and needs | 27 |
| | Mar | tin Böh | nm, Barbara Flechl, Walter Aigner and Caroline Visser | |
| | 2.1 | | luction | 27 |
| | 2.2 | - | evaluation is needed by decision-makers and what it is | |
| | | used f | for | 28 |

| | T 1 | | C 11. | 1 | | | | .1 1 | 1 | 1. |
|-----|------------|---------|-------------|------|----------|-----------------|----------|---------|-----|---------|
| V1 | Evaluation | 01 | intelligent | road | trangnai | • t C | vetome. | mothode | and | roculte |
| V I | Lvainanon | o_{I} | mienigem | rouu | uuuspoi | ι ι | voicino. | memous | unu | resuus |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | 2.2.1 | The importance of evaluation for ITS deployment | 28 |
|---|------|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| | | | From R&D to ITS deployment | 29 |
| | 2.3 | Evalua | ation policy and how it influences ITS evaluation | 32 |
| | 2.4 | Surve | ying the use of ITS evaluation evidence | 35 |
| | | 2.4.1 | Background | 35 |
| | | 2.4.2 | What makes for a decision-making process on | |
| | | | ITS investments? | 37 |
| | | 2.4.3 | Phases in the decision-making process and the use of ITS | |
| | | | evaluation evidence | 38 |
| | | 2.4.4 | How to reach a decision: data needs, data use | 39 |
| | | 2.4.5 | Sources of ITS evaluation evidence | 41 |
| | | 2.4.6 | Barriers to evidence-based ITS investment decision-making | 42 |
| | 2.5 | Concl | usions | 43 |
| | Refe | rences | | 45 |
| 3 | Frai | meworl | ks and methods for ITS evaluation | 47 |
| | | | Mitsakis, Josep Maria Salanova Grau, | • ' |
| | | _ | Iordanopoulos and Evangelos Mintsis | |
| | 3.1 | Descri | iption of Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS) | 47 |
| | 3.2 | | s the evaluation of ITS essential? | 48 |
| | 3.3 | | to be evaluated? | 48 |
| | | 3.3.1 | IT-system as such | 48 |
| | | | IT-system in use | 48 |
| | 3.4 | | is the process of evaluation? | 49 |
| | 3.5 | | ional and commonly used evaluation methods | 51 |
| | | 3.5.1 | BCA | 52 |
| | | 3.5.2 | Multi-criteria analysis | 52 |
| | | 3.5.3 | Design science theory | 53 |
| | 3.6 | Evalua | ation strategies for ITS Projects | 54 |
| | | 3.6.1 | Goal-based strategy | 54 |
| | | 3.6.2 | Goal-free strategy | 54 |
| | | 3.6.3 | Criteria-based strategy | 55 |
| | 3.7 | Field (| Operational Tests (FOTs) | 55 |
| | 3.8 | | te and ex-post evaluation | 58 |
| | 3.9 | Socio- | -economic evaluation | 60 |
| | | 3.9.1 | Impacts assessment | 60 |
| | | | Evaluation of deployment | 61 |
| | | Concl | usions | 63 |
| | Refe | rences | | 64 |
| 4 | Eva | luation | of the impact of ITS | 67 |
| | | | r and Giovanna Marchionni | |
| | 4.1 | Overv | iew of the Diffusion of Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS) | |
| | | in Eur | rope | 67 |
| | | 4.1.1 | EIP+ | 71 |

| | | | C | ontents | vii |
|---|------|---------|-------------------------------------------------------|---------|-----|
| | 4.2 | The E | Evaluation of ITS | | 72 |
| | | 4.2.1 | General principles of evaluation | | 73 |
| | | 4.2.2 | The European guidelines | | 74 |
| | | 4.2.3 | | | 77 |
| | 4.3 | US IT | S programme overview | | 81 |
| | | 4.3.1 | Mission | | 81 |
| | | 4.3.2 | ITS Strategic Plan | | 81 |
| | | 4.3.3 | The Office of the Assistant Secretary for Research a | nd | |
| | | | Technology (OST-R) | | 83 |
| | | 4.3.4 | USDOT ITS knowledge resources | | 83 |
| | 4.4 | The I | TS Toolkit and the Project 2DECIDE | | 88 |
| | | 4.4.1 | ITS Toolkit in action | | 92 |
| | 4.5 | Comp | parison and evaluation of the impacts of ITS on roads | | 94 |
| | | 4.5.1 | Objectives | | 94 |
| | | 4.5.2 | Comparison between evaluations | | 95 |
| | Bibl | iograph | ny | | 117 |
| 5 | ITS | evalua | ntion – A network perspective | | 123 |
| | | ard Mi | | | |
| | 5.1 | Tradit | tional approach to ITS evaluation | | 123 |
| | 5.2 | | history of network investments | | 124 |
| | 5.3 | How o | does a network create economic value? | | 127 |
| | | 5.3.1 | Key concepts | | 127 |
| | | 5.3.2 | Economic productivity | | 128 |
| | | 5.3.3 | General characteristics of network-scale change | | 132 |
| | 5.4 | Auton | nomous vehicles: an ITS network to evaluate | | 133 |
| | 5.5 | Possib | ole implications | | 136 |
| | 5.6 | Unans | swered questions | | 137 |
| | Refe | erences | | | 138 |
| 6 | Fiel | d Oper | rational Tests (FOTs) – The ultimate answer | | |
| | to i | npact e | evaluation? | | 141 |
| | Stig | E.R. Fr | ranzén and I.C. MariAnne Karlsson | | |
| | 6.1 | Introd | luction | | 141 |
| | 6.2 | FEST. | A | | 141 |
| | | 6.2.1 | The FESTA V | | 142 |
| | | 6.2.2 | The FESTA Handbook | | 144 |
| | 6.3 | FOTs | | | 144 |
| | | 6.3.1 | euroFOT | | 144 |
| | | 6.3.2 | TeleFOT | | 147 |
| | | 6.3.3 | DRIVE C2X | | 151 |
| | 6.4 | Drivir | ng automation FOTs | | 153 |
| | | 6.4.1 | The FESTA approach | | 155 |
| | | 6.4.2 | Transportation system usage | | 156 |
| | | 6.4.3 | Study designs | | 156 |

| viii | Evaluation of intelligent road transport systems: methods and results | |
|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| | | |

| | | 6.4.4 FESTA for driving automation | 157 |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| | 6.5 | Concluding remarks | 157 |
| | | rences | 159 |
| | | | |
| 7 | Asse | essing transport measures using cost-benefit and | |
| | | ti-criteria analysis | 161 |
| | Heni | k Taale, Jan Kiel and Arnoud Muizer | |
| | 7.1 | Introduction | 161 |
| | 7.2 | | 162 |
| | | 7.2.1 Scope | 162 |
| | | 7.2.2 Basic principles | 163 |
| | | 7.2.3 Relation with existing methods | 164 |
| | 7.3 | The assessment method in nine steps | 165 |
| | | 7.3.1 Introduction | 165 |
| | | 7.3.2 The nine steps | 165 |
| | 7.4 | Case studies | 173 |
| | | 7.4.1 The nine steps for 'Zoetermeer on the move' | 173 |
| | | 7.4.2 The nine steps for 'A15 River land' | 179 |
| | | 7.4.3 Conclusions from all cases | 186 |
| | 7.5 | Conclusions | 186 |
| | | nowledgement | 187 |
| | Refe | rences | 187 |
| | | | 107 |
| 8 | | nnical assessment of the performance of in-vehicle systems ues Ehrlich and Nicolas Hautière | 189 |
| 8 | Jacq | ues Ehrlich and Nicolas Hautière | 189 |
| 8 | <i>Jacq</i> 8.1 | ues Ehrlich and Nicolas Hautière Introduction | 189 |
| 8 | Jacq | ues Ehrlich and Nicolas Hautière Introduction Metrology reminder | 189 189 190 |
| 8 | <i>Jacq</i> 8.1 | Introduction Metrology reminder 8.2.1 Range, accuracy and precision | 189 190 190 |
| 8 | <i>Jacq</i> 8.1 | Introduction Metrology reminder 8.2.1 Range, accuracy and precision 8.2.2 Rates: accuracy in binary classification | 189 189 190 |
| 8 | <i>Jacq</i> 8.1 | Introduction Metrology reminder 8.2.1 Range, accuracy and precision 8.2.2 Rates: accuracy in binary classification 8.2.3 Sampling size and sampling method | 189 190 190 190 |
| 8 | <i>Jacq</i> 8.1 | Introduction Metrology reminder 8.2.1 Range, accuracy and precision 8.2.2 Rates: accuracy in binary classification 8.2.3 Sampling size and sampling method 8.2.4 Sensitivity and operational limits | 189 190 190 190 190 |
| 8 | <i>Jacq</i> 8.1 8.2 | Introduction Metrology reminder 8.2.1 Range, accuracy and precision 8.2.2 Rates: accuracy in binary classification 8.2.3 Sampling size and sampling method 8.2.4 Sensitivity and operational limits Complex system validation | 189 190 190 190 191 191 |
| 8 | <i>Jacq</i> 8.1 8.2 | Introduction Metrology reminder 8.2.1 Range, accuracy and precision 8.2.2 Rates: accuracy in binary classification 8.2.3 Sampling size and sampling method 8.2.4 Sensitivity and operational limits Complex system validation 8.3.1 System granularity | 189 190 190 190 191 192 192 |
| 8 | <i>Jacq</i> 8.1 8.2 | Introduction Metrology reminder 8.2.1 Range, accuracy and precision 8.2.2 Rates: accuracy in binary classification 8.2.3 Sampling size and sampling method 8.2.4 Sensitivity and operational limits Complex system validation | 189 190 190 190 191 192 192 193 |
| 8 | <i>Jacq</i> 8.1 8.2 | Introduction Metrology reminder 8.2.1 Range, accuracy and precision 8.2.2 Rates: accuracy in binary classification 8.2.3 Sampling size and sampling method 8.2.4 Sensitivity and operational limits Complex system validation 8.3.1 System granularity 8.3.2 Technical validation of sensing modules | 189 190 190 190 191 192 192 193 193 |
| 8 | <i>Jacq</i> 8.1 8.2 | Introduction Metrology reminder 8.2.1 Range, accuracy and precision 8.2.2 Rates: accuracy in binary classification 8.2.3 Sampling size and sampling method 8.2.4 Sensitivity and operational limits Complex system validation 8.3.1 System granularity 8.3.2 Technical validation of sensing modules 8.3.3 Technical validation of DF module | 189 190 190 190 191 192 192 193 193 193 |
| 8 | Jacq 8.1 8.2 8.3 | Introduction Metrology reminder 8.2.1 Range, accuracy and precision 8.2.2 Rates: accuracy in binary classification 8.2.3 Sampling size and sampling method 8.2.4 Sensitivity and operational limits Complex system validation 8.3.1 System granularity 8.3.2 Technical validation of sensing modules 8.3.3 Technical validation of DF module 8.3.4 Latency time | 189 190 190 190 191 192 192 193 193 193 195 |
| 8 | Jacq 8.1 8.2 8.3 | Introduction Metrology reminder 8.2.1 Range, accuracy and precision 8.2.2 Rates: accuracy in binary classification 8.2.3 Sampling size and sampling method 8.2.4 Sensitivity and operational limits Complex system validation 8.3.1 System granularity 8.3.2 Technical validation of sensing modules 8.3.3 Technical validation of DF module 8.3.4 Latency time Test facilities | 189 189 190 190 190 191 192 192 193 193 193 195 196 196 197 |
| 8 | Jacq 8.1 8.2 8.3 | Introduction Metrology reminder 8.2.1 Range, accuracy and precision 8.2.2 Rates: accuracy in binary classification 8.2.3 Sampling size and sampling method 8.2.4 Sensitivity and operational limits Complex system validation 8.3.1 System granularity 8.3.2 Technical validation of sensing modules 8.3.3 Technical validation of DF module 8.3.4 Latency time Test facilities 8.4.1 Real or virtual approaches | 189 190 190 190 190 191 192 193 193 193 195 196 |
| 8 | Jacq 8.1 8.2 8.3 | Introduction Metrology reminder 8.2.1 Range, accuracy and precision 8.2.2 Rates: accuracy in binary classification 8.2.3 Sampling size and sampling method 8.2.4 Sensitivity and operational limits Complex system validation 8.3.1 System granularity 8.3.2 Technical validation of sensing modules 8.3.3 Technical validation of DF module 8.3.4 Latency time Test facilities 8.4.1 Real or virtual approaches 8.4.2 Hardware in the loop (HIL) 8.4.3 Test and validation tools A generic template for technical validation | 189 189 190 190 190 191 192 192 193 193 193 195 196 196 197 |
| 8 | 3.1 8.2 8.3 | Introduction Metrology reminder 8.2.1 Range, accuracy and precision 8.2.2 Rates: accuracy in binary classification 8.2.3 Sampling size and sampling method 8.2.4 Sensitivity and operational limits Complex system validation 8.3.1 System granularity 8.3.2 Technical validation of sensing modules 8.3.3 Technical validation of DF module 8.3.4 Latency time Test facilities 8.4.1 Real or virtual approaches 8.4.2 Hardware in the loop (HIL) 8.4.3 Test and validation tools | 189 189 190 190 190 191 192 192 193 193 193 195 196 196 197 198 |

| | | | Contents | ix |
|----|--------|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| | | 8.5.3 | Section 3: rates for classifier | 201 |
| | | | Section 4: system restrictions | 201 |
| | | | Section 5: feedback (or link) to specifications | 201 |
| | 8.6 | | study: ISA++ | 201 |
| | 0.0 | | System analysis | 201 |
| | | | Example: test and validation of the FOG sensing module | 205 |
| | 8.7 | | · · | 212 |
| | Ackı | nowledge | | 213 |
| | | rences | | 213 |
| 9 | Evol | luotion o | of ITS: opportunities and challenges in the era | |
| 7 | | | asive technology | 215 |
| | | | Muller and Frances Hodgson | |
| | 9.1 | Introduc | ction | 215 |
| | 9.2 | Pervasiv | ve technology in the transport sector | 217 |
| | 9.3 | Evaluat | ion of technology-enabled NMS | 219 |
| | | 9.3.1 | Components of a NMS | 219 |
| | | | Challenges in evaluating a NMS | 220 |
| | | 9.3.3 | Proposal for an evaluation approach for an ICT | |
| | | | enabled NMS | 222 |
| | 9.4 | | ion of technology enabled SI schemes | 223 |
| | | | Definitions of SI in the transport sector | 223 |
| | | | Challenges in evaluating SI schemes in transport | 224 |
| | | | Evaluation proposal for SI schemes in transport | 225 |
| | 9.5 | | ew and summary remarks | 227 |
| | Refe | rences | | 230 |
| Pa | rt III | Intelli | igent road transport systems evaluation results | 233 |
| 10 | Eval | luating c | cooperative ITS | 235 |
| | Alan | Stevens, | Simon Ball and Jean Hopkin | |
| | 10.1 | Introdu | | 235 |
| | | | Cooperative ITS | 235 |
| | | | Terminology | 235 |
| | | 10.1.3 | Structure and scope | 236 |
| | 10.2 | CBA | | 236 |
| | | 10.2.1 | Introduction | 236 |
| | | 10.2.2 | e: | 237 |
| | | 10.2.3 | | 238 |
| | | 10.2.4 | | 238 |
| | 10.3 | | ng for evaluation of C-ITS | 239 |
| | | 10.3.1 | | 239 |
| | | 10.3.2 | 1 | 241 |
| | | 10.3.3 | Step 3: Mapping the intervention logic | 241 |

| X | Evaluation | of | intelligent | road | transport | systems: | methods | and | results |
|---|------------|----|-------------|------|-----------|----------|---------|-----|---------|
|---|------------|----|-------------|------|-----------|----------|---------|-----|---------|

| | | 10.3.4 | | |
|----|--------|-----------|---------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| | | 10.2.5 | questions | 242 |
| | | 10.3.5 | 1 6 | 242 |
| | 10.4 | 10.3.6 | | 242 |
| | 10.4 | | lata collection analysis and reporting | 242 |
| | | | Introduction and planning of data collection | 242 |
| | | | Data analysis | 243 244 |
| | 10.5 | | Reporting | 244 |
| | 10.5 | _ | ic approaches to evaluation and assessment Introduction | 246 |
| | | 10.5.1 | | 246 |
| | | | | 240 |
| | | | US and UK examples EC Impact Appraisal | 247 |
| | 10.6 | | nges and limitations | 250 |
| | 10.0 | | Size of the effect | 250 |
| | | 10.6.1 | | 252 |
| | | 10.6.2 | _ | 252 |
| | | | 'Hotspots' and transferability | 253 |
| | | 10.6.5 | ÷ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 253 |
| | | | Driver acceptance and compliance | 253 |
| | | | Time horizon for evaluation | 254 |
| | 10.7 | | g remarks | 254 |
| | Refer | _ | , icinaiks | 255 |
| | 110101 | CHCCS | | 200 |
| 11 | The o | evolution | towards automated driving – Classification of | |
| | impa | cts, revi | ew of assessments of automated driving functions, | |
| | chall | enges for | r evaluation | 257 |
| | Torst | en Geißle | er, Risto Kulmala and Oliver Carsten | |
| | 11.1 | Introdu | ction | 257 |
| | | | of automated driving | 257 |
| | 11.3 | | ication of potential impacts of automated driving | |
| | | | older, timeline) | 259 |
| | | | Near and medium terms | 260 |
| | | | Long term | 267 |
| | 11.4 | | ls and approaches for assessment of automated driving | |
| | | function | | 274 |
| | | 11.4.1 | Assessment of technical performance | 274 |
| | | 11.4.2 | Assessment of HMI | 274 |
| | | 11.4.3 | Assessment of user acceptance and use of | |
| | | | automated driving functions | 275 |
| | | 11.4.4 | Impact assessment | 275 |
| | | 11.4.5 | Socio-economic assessment | 276 |
| | 11.5 | | of assessment of automated driving functions | 276 |
| | | 11.5.1 | Knowledge base | 276 |
| | | | | |

| | | Cont | tents xi |
|------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|----------|
| | 11.5.2 | Cymonoic of mogulto | 278 |
| | | Synopsis of results Interpretation of results | 279 |
| 11.0 | | ges for impact and socio-economic assessment | 219 |
| 11. | | ontext of automated driving | 280 |
| Dof | erences | ontext of automated driving | 281 |
| KCI | Cicliccs | | 201 |
| | e <mark>r-related e</mark> Irás Várhely | valuation of ADAS and automated driving vi | 287 |
| 12. | l Introduc | tion | 287 |
| 12.2 | 2 Relevan | t issues in user-related evaluation | 288 |
| | 12.2.1 | Behaviour-related issues | 288 |
| | 12.2.2 | Understanding of automation issues | 288 |
| | 12.2.3 | | 288 |
| | 12.2.4 | Locus of control | 288 |
| | 12.2.5 | Resuming control | 289 |
| | 12.2.6 | | 289 |
| | 12.2.7 | e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e | 289 |
| | 12.2.8 | Stress | 290 |
| | 12.2.9 | Boredom | 290 |
| | 12.2.10 | Fatigue | 290 |
| | 12.2.11 | _ | 290 |
| | 12.2.12 | 'Out-of-the-loop' performance | 291 |
| | 12.2.13 | | 291 |
| | 12.2.14 | Automation bias | 292 |
| | 12.2.15 | Complacency and bias | 292 |
| | | Usability | 292 |
| | 12.2.17 | | 293 |
| 12.3 | 3 Research | n questions, hypotheses and evaluation indicators | 293 |
| 12.4 | | and tools for user-related evaluation | 295 |
| | 12.4.1 | Measuring driver performance | 296 |
| | 12.4.2 | • | 297 |
| | 12.4.3 | | 297 |
| | 12.4.4 | ~ · | 297 |
| | | Transfer of control | 298 |
| | 12.4.6 | | 298 |
| | 12.4.7 | Stress | 298 |
| | 12.4.8 | Boredom | 299 |
| | 12.4.9 | Fatigue | 299 |
| | 12.4.10 | SA | 299 |
| | 12.4.11 | Out-of-the-loop performance problem | 300 |
| | 12.4.12 | Complacency | 300 |
| | 12.4.13 | Usability | 300 |
| | 12.4.14 | Acceptance | 301 |
| | 12.4.15 | Perceived benefits | 301 |

| xii | Evaluation of | f intelligent | road tran | sport systems: | methods | and | results |
|-----|---------------|---------------|-----------|----------------|---------|-----|---------|
| | | | | | | | |

| | 12.5 | Study design | 301 |
|----|--------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| | 12.6 | Conclusions | 302 |
| | Refere | ences | 303 |
| 13 | | rds a coherent cost-benefit analysis of ITS – A review of s and assessments of ITS applications for traffic | |
| | mana | gement in Sweden | 309 |
| | | en Sachse, Carl-Henrik Sandbreck, Andreas Larsson or Skoglund | |
| | 13.1 | Introduction | 309 |
| | | 13.1.1 Aim | 310 |
| | 13.2 | Method | 311 |
| | | 13.2.1 Literature study/Data collection | 311 |
| | | 13.2.2 Selection of ITS measures | 312 |
| | | 13.2.3 Expert evaluation workshops | 312 |
| | 13.3 | Results | 312 |
| | | 13.3.1 Travel time/incident information | 313 |
| | | 13.3.2 Ramp metering | 315 |
| | | 13.3.3 Traffic controlled variable speed limits | 317 |
| | | 13.3.4 MCS | 320 |
| | | Discussion | 321 |
| | | Conclusion | 323 |
| | | Further work | 324 |
| | Refere | ences | 324 |
| 14 | | tiveness of Intelligent Transport Systems: Wet Weather Pilot s Wazirzada, Glenn Geers and Parikshit Kumar Basu | 327 |
| | 14.1 | Background | 327 |
| | 14.2 | Speed reviews | 327 |
| | 14.3 | The ITS solution | 329 |
| | 14.4 | Road and speed environment | 329 |
| | | 14.4.1 Speed changes | 330 |
| | | 14.4.2 Road geometry changes | 330 |
| | | 14.4.3 Signs and delineation changes | 331 |
| | 14.5 | Analysis | 331 |
| | 14.6 | Findings | 331 |
| | | 14.6.1 Overall crashes for Dry and Wet condition | 331 |
| | | 14.6.2 Injury crashes in Dry and Wet condition | 334 |
| | 14.7 | Non-Injury crashes in Dry and Wet conditions | 336 |
| | | 14.7.1 NB | 336 |
| | | 14.7.2 SB | 337 |
| | 14.8 | Fatal crashes in Dry and Wet conditions | 338 |
| | 14.9 | Average crash rates and standard deviation | 339 |
| | 14.10 | Cost of crashes | 340 |
| | 14.11 | Conclusion | 342 |
| | Refere | ences | 344 |

| Contents | xiii |
|----------|------|
| | |

| 15 | | | evaluation of ITS projects – Examples from China Ling Huang, Ruimin Li and Hongtong Qiu | 345 |
|----|-------|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| | 15.1 | Introdu | ction | 345 |
| | 15.2 | BRT p | roject evaluation (Guangzhou, P.R. China) | 345 |
| | | 15.2.1 | Guangzhou BRT system | 345 |
| | | 15.2.2 | Guanzhou BRT simulation evaluation | 346 |
| | | 15.2.3 | BRT simulation model | 348 |
| | | 15.2.4 | Analysis of BRT simulation results | 350 |
| | | 15.2.5 | BRT station operation evaluation | 353 |
| | 15.3 | Evalua | tion of the Tsingdao Advanced Traffic Management | |
| | | System | (ATMS) | 356 |
| | | 15.3.1 | Background of Tsingdao ATMS | 356 |
| | | 15.3.2 | Evaluation of Tsingdao ATMS | 360 |
| | | 15.3.3 | Evaluation index system | 360 |
| | | 15.3.4 | Traffic operation efficiency evaluation | 362 |
| | | 15.3.5 | Social and economic benefit evaluation | 364 |
| | | 15.3.6 | Traffic safety benefit evaluation | 365 |
| | 15.4 | Conclu | sions | 367 |
| | Refer | ences | | 367 |
| 16 | | | ntrol benefit and cost considerations esen, Berino Lorio and Paul Vorster | 369 |
| | 16.1 | Introdu | ction | 369 |
| | | 16.1.1 | Effect of overloading | 369 |
| | | 16.1.2 | Overload compliance measurement | 370 |
| | | 16.1.3 | Need for an overarching strategy for the provision | 370 |
| | | 16 1 1 | of weighbridges Provision of a traffic control centre | 370 |
| | 16.2 | | ad control systems | 371 |
| | 10.2 | | Components of overload control | 372 |
| | | | Concept of operations | 373 |
| | 16.3 | | -cost methodology | 373 |
| | 10.5 | | Costs | 375 |
| | | | Benefits | 376 |
| | 16.4 | Applica | | 377 |
| | 10.4 | | Data/inputs needed | 377 |
| | | | Method of calculating net present value | 380 |
| | 16.5 | Case st | | 380 |
| | 10.5 | 16.5.1 | eTeza overload control scheme operations | 382 |
| | | | * | 383 |
| | 16.6 | 16.5.2 | Cost–benefit analysis al considerations for designing and operating TCCs | 303 |
| | 10.0 | | | 384 |
| | | 16.6.1 | ssons learnt) Alternative routes | |
| | | | | 384 |
| | | 16.6.2 | Screening rate and hours of operation | 384 |
| | | 16.6.3 | Overlapping areas of influence | 384 |

| xiv | Evaluation | of intelligent | road transport | systems: | methods | and | results |
|-----|------------|----------------|----------------|----------|---------|-----|---------|
| | | | | | | | |

| | 16.6.4 | Statistical screening errors and variable | |
|---------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| | | decision thresholds | 384 |
| | 16.6.5 | Cost versus complexity trade-offs | |
| | | (qualitative discussion) | 385 |
| 16.7 | ' Conclu | usion | 386 |
| Ack | nowledge | ements | 386 |
| Ref | erences | | 386 |
| Part IV |) Discu | ssion and conclusions | 387 |
| cha | | of intelligent road transport systems: key findings, and future work | 389 |
| 17.1 | ITS de | eployment and evaluation – summary and key findings | 389 |
| 17.2 | Lesson | as learned, research needs and challenges | 392 |
| 17.3 | Discus | ssions | 396 |
| 17.4 | Conclu | usion | 398 |
| Ref | erences | | 399 |
| Appen | dix I | Biographies | 401 |
| Appen | dix II | Bibliography of further reading | 411 |
| Index | | | 417 |